

7 CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION UNDERTAKEN TO SUPPORT PREPARATION OF THE PEIS

7.1 PUBLIC SCOPING

The BLM published the NOI to prepare a PEIS to evaluate wind energy development on western public lands administered by the BLM in the *Federal Register* (68 FR 201) on October 17, 2003. The NOI initiated the public scoping process and invited public comments on the content and issues that should be addressed in the PEIS. The BLM conducted scoping for a 60-day period from October 17, 2003, through December 19, 2003. During that period, the BLM invited the public and interested groups to provide information and guidance, suggest issues that should be examined, and express their concerns and opinions on resources in the western United States that wind energy development might impact.

During the scoping process, the public was given four means of submitting comments to the BLM on the PEIS:

- Open public meetings, which were held in Sacramento, California (November 3); Salt Lake City, Utah (November 5); Cheyenne, Wyoming (November 12); Las Vegas, Nevada (November 18); and Boise, Idaho (November 20);
- Traditional mail;
- Toll-free facsimile transmission; and
- Directly through a Web site on the Internet.

This variety of ways to communicate issues and submit comments was provided so as to encourage maximum participation. All comments, regardless of how they were submitted, received equal consideration.

It is estimated that as many as 5,000 people participated in the scoping process by attending public meetings, providing comments, requesting information, or visiting the Wind Energy Development PEIS Web site. Approximately 110 documents containing comments were received from individuals, organizations, and government agencies, in addition to the verbal comments provided at the public meetings. Comments were received from nine state agencies (within the States of California, Montana, New Mexico, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming), three federal agencies (USFWS, Western Area Power Administration, and U.S. Air Force), four local government organizations (Board of Fremont County Commissioners, White Pine County Public Works, the Elmore County Commissioner, and the Kern County Planning Department), and nearly 60 other organizations (including environmental and interest groups and industry). More than 850 individual comments were received. Comments received in writing, as opposed to those submitted verbally at the public meetings, were submitted in the following ways:

- 72% via the Wind Energy Development PEIS Web site,
- 7% by fax, and
- 21% by regular mail.

Comments originated from 24 states. Of those comments, 80% were from states within the study area, and 30% were from California alone. No comments were received from other countries. During the scoping period, more than 10,500 visits were made by more than 4,800 different visitors to the Wind Energy Development PEIS Web site.

The BLM published a scoping report (BLM 2004f) that summarizes and categorizes the major themes, issues, concerns, and comments expressed by private citizens, government agencies, private firms, and nongovernmental organizations. The BLM considered the comments in developing the alternatives and analytical issues that are contained in this PEIS. Copies of the individual letters, facsimiles, and electronic comments received during scoping are available on the BLM Wind Energy Development PEIS Web site (<http://windeis.anl.gov>).

7.2 PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE DRAFT PEIS

The EPA published the Notice of Availability (NOA) of the Draft PEIS in the *Federal Register* on September 10, 2004 (69 FR 175). Publication of the NOA began a 90-day public comment period on the Draft PEIS, which ended on December 10, 2004.

The Draft PEIS was posted in its entirety on the Wind Energy Development PEIS Web site. Printed copies of the document and CDs containing the electronic files for the document were mailed upon request. Comments on the document were received by two methods:

- An electronic comment form on the project Web site, and
- Traditional mail.

More than 120 people and organizations participated in the public comment process by providing Internet-based comments or letters. More than 60 recognized organizations (public and private) provided comments on the Draft PEIS. The breakdown of comment documents (sets of comments from an individual or organization) by mode of submittal was as follows:

- 77% via the project Web site, and
- 23% by regular mail.

All comments, regardless of how they were submitted, received equal consideration. On the basis of the documents received during the public comment period, comment categorization resulted in approximately 718 individual comments. The BLM reviewed all comments and made changes to the Final PEIS, as appropriate. Responses to comments are provided in Volume 3 of

the Final PEIS. Volume 3 has not been printed for distribution but is provided on a compact disc in a pocket attached to the back cover of Volume 2.

7.3 GOVERNMENT-TO-GOVERNMENT CONSULTATION

The BLM works on a government-to-government basis with Native American Tribal entities. As a part of the government's Treaty and Trust responsibilities, the government-to-government relationship was formally recognized by the federal government on November 6, 2000, with E.O. 13175, "Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments," (U.S. President 2000).

The BLM coordinates and consults with Tribal governments, Native communities, and Tribal individuals whose interests might be directly and substantially affected by activities on BLM-administered lands. It strives to provide the Tribal entities sufficient opportunities for productive participation in BLM planning and resource management decision making.

The BLM developed a process to offer specific consultation opportunities to "directly and substantially affected" Tribal entities, as required under the provisions of E.O. 13175. Starting in October 2003, Tribal entities located in or with interests in the 11-state study area were contacted by mail by the BLM State Directors. In September 2004, the same Tribal entities were contacted by mail by the BLM State Directors advising them of the availability of the Draft PEIS for review and comment. Table 7.2-1 at the end of this chapter lists the Tribal entities that were contacted by state. Through the course of the entire PEIS preparation process, only three Tribes — Lovelock Paiute, Taos Pueblo, and Pawnee Nation of Oklahoma — indicated an interest in consultation. The BLM will continue to work with these Tribes. In addition, the BLM will continue to implement government-to-government consultation on a case-by-case basis for site-specific wind energy development proposals.

7.4 COORDINATION OF BLM STATE AND FIELD OFFICES

This PEIS was prepared by the BLM Washington Office to evaluate a program that will have Bureauwide impacts. The BLM Washington Office created two working groups to ensure adequate coordination between the BLM State Offices and multiple Field Offices that (1) needed to be involved in preparation of the PEIS and (2) would be impacted by its outcome.

The first group that was formed included land and resources staff from each of the 10 State Offices located within the 11-state study area.¹ These staff members served as technical leads and were responsible for providing technical knowledge regarding wind energy development in their respective state and coordinating with Field Office staff.

¹ Although there are 11 states within the study area, there are only 10 BLM State Offices involved. The State Office located in Portland, Oregon, has management authority over BLM-administered lands in both Oregon and Washington.

The second group that was formed included Public Affairs Office staff from each of the State Offices. These staff members were responsible for coordinating all public involvement activities related to the PEIS (e.g., public meetings, local public notifications, and advertisements); conducting the government-to-government consultation process with Tribes; notifying state governmental agencies of the PEIS; responding to any questions regarding the PEIS received from local parties; and forwarding, as appropriate, any questions or comments regarding the PEIS to Washington Office staff.

In addition, land use planners in the State and Field Offices were involved in the process of identifying which land use plans would be proposed for amendment in the PEIS. This included determining the proposed changes and rationale for each change.

Coordination with State Office and Field Office staff will continue on issues related to wind energy development on BLM-administered lands. BLM Washington Office staff will work with State and Field Office staff following the release of the ROD to support (1) implementation of the Wind Energy Development Program or other programmatic or policy direction; (2) review of individual wind energy project ROW applications; (3) determination of the level of NEPA review required for individual project applications; (4) amendment and revision of land use plans; and (5) ongoing evaluation of wind energy resources on BLM-administered lands, employing NREL researchers, as necessary.

7.5 AGENCY COOPERATION, CONSULTATION, AND COORDINATION

From the start of this PEIS process, the BLM consulted with several federal agencies regarding the purpose and need for the proposed action and the scope of the analysis. Agencies that were involved in early consultations include the USFWS, the U.S. Air Force, the DOE Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, and agency representatives to the Federal Energy Resources Network (FERN) (DOE Office of Fossil Energy, EPA, NPS, USFS, Minerals Management Services, and DoD). Consultation activities included notification of the opening of the scoping period, informal meetings and discussions, participation in a PEIS workshop in February 2004, and review of the Draft PEIS. During the Draft PEIS comment period, the DOE's Western Area Power Administration (Western) expressed an interest in working with the BLM to incorporate additional information related to wind energy and transmission system interconnects and expansions. The BLM accepted Western's offer for assistance and incorporated new information regarding transmission system interconnects and related issues into Section 6.4.3. As discussed in Chapter 1, the DOE is now a cooperating agency on the PEIS.

In accordance with the Memorandum of Agreement between the BLM and the USFWS, the BLM is consulting with the USFWS regarding the proposed plan amendments discussed in Section 2.2.4 and Appendix C (Appendix G of BLM 2002b). These consultations will be conducted in accordance with the requirements of Section 7 of the ESA (16 USC 1536) and are expected to result in the issuance of a programmatic biological assessment and biological opinion.

In addition, the BLM initiated activities to coordinate and consult with the governors of each of the 11 states and with state agencies. Prior to the issuance of the ROD and the approval of proposed plan amendments, the governor of each state will be given the opportunity to identify any inconsistencies between the proposed plan amendments and state or local plans and to provide recommendations in writing (during the 60-day consistency review period).

7.6 POTENTIAL ADOPTION OF THE PEIS BY OTHER ORGANIZATIONS

The PEIS provides an analysis of the positive and negative environmental, social, and economic impacts associated with wind energy development on BLM-administered lands in the western United States. It identifies potential measures that may be undertaken to avoid, mitigate, or minimize potential impacts and proposes specific policies and BMPs to govern wind energy development. The information contained in the PEIS and the decisions represented in the proposed policies and BMPs may be relevant to wind energy development on other lands, including other federal, private, state-owned, and Tribal lands. They may also be relevant to decisions regarding other related activities, including development of new transmission lines, substations, and other facilities.

As a cooperating agency, the DOE may elect to adopt this PEIS, or a portion of this PEIS, at some time in the future. Other agencies may elect to adopt this PEIS as well. The CEQ regulations provide specific guidance on the process by which one agency can adopt another agency's final NEPA document even though it did not participate as a cooperating agency (40 CFR 1506.3). According to the CEQ in its March 23, 1981 "Forty Most Asked Questions Concerning CEQ's National Environmental Policy Act Regulations," Question 30, "If the proposed action for which the EIS was prepared is substantially the same as the proposed action of the adopting agency, the EIS may be adopted as long as it is recirculated as a final EIS and the agency announces what it is doing. This would be followed by the 30-day review period and issuance of a Record of Decision by the adopting agency. If the proposed action by the adopting agency is not substantially the same as that in [46 FR 18036] the EIS (i.e., if an EIS on one action is being adapted for use in a decision on another action), the EIS would be treated as a draft and circulated for the normal public comment period and other procedures" (46 FR 55, 18026–18038).

Individual organizations should consider their own NEPA implementing regulations or comparable programmatic requirements to evaluate the potential benefits associated with implementation of all or portions of the BLM's Final PEIS.

TABLE 7.2-1 Government-to-Government Consultation Summary

BLM State Office	Consultation Invitation Letters Sent to the Tribal Organizations Listed Below
Arizona	Yavapai-Prescott Indian Tribe, Prescott, AZ Colorado River Indian Tribes, Parker, AZ Hopi Tribal Council, Kykotsmovi, AZ Hualapai Tribal Council, Peach Springs, AZ
California	Buena Vista Rancheria, Ione, CA Barona Band of Mission Indians, Lakeside, CA Pinoleville Rancheria, Ukiah, CA Jamul Indian Village, Jamul, CA Enterprise Rancheria, Oroville, CA Big Valley Rancheria, Lakeport, CA Mooretown Rancheria, Oroville, CA Augustine Band of Mission Indians, Coachella, CA San Manuel Band of Mission Indians, Patton, CA Yurok Tribe, Klamath, CA Chicken Ranch Rancheria, Jamestown, CA Cherokees of California, Yuba City, CA Resighini Rancheria, Klamath, CA Lytton Rancheria, Santa Rosa, CA Twenty Nine Palms Band of Mission Indians, Coachella, CA Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians, Palm Springs, CA Elk Valley Rancheria, Crescent City, CA Smith River Rancheria, Smith River, CA Laytonville Rancheria, Laytonville, CA Colusa Rancheria, Colusa, CA Big Lagoon Rancheria, Trinidad, CA Cahuilla Band of Mission Indians, Anza, CA Round Valley Reservation, Covelo, CA Manchester Point Arena Band of Pomo Indians, Point Arena, CA Inaja and Cosmit Reservation, Escondido, CA La Posta Band of Mission Indians, Boulevard, CA Cortina Rancheria, Williams, CA Quartz Valley Reservation, Fort Jones, CA Tule River Reservation, Porterville, CA Cold Springs Rancheria, Tollhouse, CA Viejas Group of Capitan Grande Band of, Alpine, CA Stewarts Point Rancheria, Santa Rosa, CA Ewiiapaayp Band of Kumeyaay Indians, Alpine, CA Pit River Tribal Council, Burney, CA Potter Valley Rancheria, Ukiah, CA Soboba Band of Mission Indians, San Jacinto, CA Bridgeport Indian Colony, Bridgeport, CA Guidiville Rancheria, Talmage, CA Mechoopda Indian Tribe of the Chico Rancheria, Chico, CA

TABLE 7.2-1 (Cont.)

BLM State Office	Consultation Invitation Letters Sent to the Tribal Organizations Listed Below
California (Cont.)	Federated Indians of Graton Rancheria, Novato, CA Los Coyotes Reservation, Warner Springs, CA Benton Paiute Reservation, Benton, CA Indian Canyon Mutsun Band of Coastanoan, Hollister, CA La Jolla Band of Luiseno Indians, Pauma Valley, CA Table Bluff Reservation, Loleta, CA Mesa Grande Band of Mission Indians, Santa Ysabel, CA Hopland Reservation, Hopland, CA Middletown Rancheria, Middletown, CA Chemehuevi Indian Tribe, Havasu Lake, CA Pala Band of Mission Indians, Pala, CA Fort Independence Reservation, Independence, CA Timbisha Shoshone Tribal Office, Bishop, CA United Auburn Indian Community, Newcastle, CA Elem Indian Colony, Clearlake Oaks, CA Torres-Martinez Desert Cahuilla Indians, Thermal, CA Sycuan Band of Mission Indians, El Cajon, CA Bishop Paiute Tribe, Bishop, CA Table Mountain Rancheria, Friant, CA Kern Valley Indian Community, Kernville, CA Robinson Rancheria, Nice, CA Sherwood Valley Rancheria, Willits, CA
Colorado	Apache Tribe of Oklahoma, Anadarko, OK Cheyenne and Arapaho Tribes of Oklahoma, Concho, OK Cheyenne River Lakota Tribe, Eagle Butte, SD Comanche Tribe of Oklahoma, Lawton, OK Crow Creek Lakota Tribal Council, Fort Thompson, SD Hopi Tribal Council, Kykotsmovi, AZ Hopi Cultural Preservation Office, Kykotsmovi, AZ Jicarilla Apache Tribal Council, Dulce, NM Kiowa Tribe of Oklahoma, Carnegie, OK Navajo Nation Tribal Council, Window Rock, AZ Navajo Nation Historic Preservation Office, Window Rock, AZ Northern Arapaho Business Council, Fort Washakie, WY Northern Cheyenne Tribe, Lame Deer, MT Uintah and Ouray Tribal Business Committee, Ft. Duchesne, UT Northern Ute Tribe, Ft. Duchesne, UT Oglala Lakota Tribe, Pine Ridge, SD Pawnee Nation of Oklahoma, Pawnee, OK Pueblo of Acoma, Acomita, NM Pueblo of Conchiti, Cochiti, NM Pueblo of Isleta, Isleta, NM Pueblo of Jemez, Jemez Pueblo, NM

TABLE 7.2-1 (Cont.)

BLM State Office	Consultation Invitation Letters Sent to the Tribal Organizations Listed Below
Colorado (Cont.)	Pueblo of Nambe, Santa Fe, NM Laguna Pueblo Tribal Council, Laguna Pueblo, NM Picuris Pueblo, Penasco, NM Pueblo of Pojoaque, Santa Fe, NM Pueblo of Sandia, Bernalillo, NM Pueblo of San Felipe, San Felipe, NM Pueblo of San Juan, San Juan Pueblo, NM San Ildefonso Pueblo, Santa Fe, NM Pueblo of Santa Ana, Bernalillo, NM Santa Clara Pueblo, Espanola, NM Pueblo of Santo Domingo, Santa Domingo, NM Pueblo of Taos, Taos, NM Pueblo of Tesuque, Santa Fe, NM Pueblo of Zia, Zia Pueblo, NM Pueblo of Zuni, Zuni, NM Zuni Cultural Resource Enterprise, Inc., Zuni, NM Rosebud Sioux Tribe, Rosebud, SD Rosebud Lakota Tribe, Mission, SD Shoshone Tribe, Fort Washakie, WY Southern Ute Indian Tribe, Ignacio, CO Standing Rock Lakota Tribe, Fort Yates, ND Ute Mountain Ute Tribe, Towaoc, CO Ute Mountain Ute Farm and Ranch Enterprise, Towaoc, CO
Idaho	Coeur d'Alene Tribe, Plummer, ID Kootenai Tribe of Idaho, Bonners Ferry, ID Nez Perce Tribal Executive Committee, Lapwai, ID Shoshone-Bannock Tribes, Fort Hall, ID Shoshone-Paiute Tribes, Owyhee, NV
Montana	Assiniboine and Sioux Tribes of Fort Peck, Poplar, MT Chippewa Cree Indians of Rocky Boy, Box Elder, MT Crow Tribe of Montana, Crow Agency, MT Northern Cheyenne Tribe, Lame Deer, MT Spirit Lake Sioux Tribe, Fort Totten, ND Turtle Mountain Band of Chippewa, Belcourt, ND Blackfeet Tribe, Browning, MT Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribes of Flathead, Pablo, MT Fort Belknap Indian Community, Harlem, MT Three Affiliated Tribes of Fort Berthold, New Town, ND Standing Rock Sioux Tribe, Fort Yates, MT Cheyenne River Sioux Tribal Council, Eagle Butte, SD Crow Creek Sioux Tribe, Fort Thompson, SD Lower Brule Sioux Tribal Council, Lower Brule, SD Oglala Lakota Sioux Tribal Council, Pine Ridge, SD Rosebud Sioux Tribe, Rosebud, SD

TABLE 7.2-1 (Cont.)

BLM State Office	Consultation Invitation Letters Sent to the Tribal Organizations Listed Below
Montana (Cont.)	Sisseton Wahpeton Sioux Tribe of Lake Traverse, Agency Village Sisseton, SD Yankton Sioux Tribe, Marty, SD Blackfeet Tribe, Browning, MT Crow Tribe, St Xavier, MT Fort Peck Tribes, Poplar, MT Sioux Tribe, Lower Brule, SD Northern Cheyenne Tribe, Lame Deer, MT Sioux Tribe, Pine Ridge, SD Chippewa Cree Tribe, Box Elder, MT Sioux Tribe, Mission, SD Standing Rock Hunkpapas Yanktonai Tribe, Fort Yates, ND Three Affiliated Tribes, New Town, ND Fort Peck Tribe, Poplar, MT
Nevada	Duck Water Shoshone Tribe, Duckwater, NV Fallon Business Council, Fallon, NV Ely Shoshone Council, Ely, NV Fort McDermitt Paiute-Shoshone Tribe, McDermitt, NV Duck Valley Shoshone-Paiute Tribe, Owyhee, NV Summit lake Paiute Tribe, Winnemucca, NV Te-Moak Tribal Council, Elko, NV Battle Mountain Band Council, Battle Mountain, NV Las Vegas Paiute Tribe, Las Vegas, NV Moapa Business Council, Moapa, NV Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribe, Nixon, NV Reno-Sparks Indian Colony, Reno, NV Lovelock Paiute Tribe, Lovelock, NV Elko Band Council, Elko, NV Wells Band Council, Wells, NV South Fork Band Council, Spring Creek, NV Washoe Tribe of Nevada and California, Gardnerville, NV Yerington Tribal Council, Yerington, NV Yomba Shoshone Tribe, Austin, NV Winnemucca Colony Council, Winnemucca, NV Walker River Paiute Tribe, Schurz, NV Carson Community Council, Carson City, NV Woodfords Community Council, Markleeville, CA Dresslerville Community Council, Garderville, NV Stewart Community Council, Carson City, NV Goshute Business Council, Ibapah, UT Timbisha Shoshone Tribe, Death Valley, CA Fort Mojave Indian Tribe, Needles, CA

TABLE 7.2-1 (Cont.)

BLM State Office	Consultation Invitation Letters Sent to the Tribal Organizations Listed Below
New Mexico	<p>Jicarilla Apache Tribe, Dulce, NM Navajo Nation, Window Rock, AZ Mescalero Apache Tribe, Mescalero, NM Pueblo of Acoma, Acoma, NM Pueblo of Cochiti, Cochiti, NM Pueblo of Isleta, Isleta, NM Pueblo of Jemez, Jemez Pueblo, NM Pueblo of Laguna, Laguna, NM Pueblo of Nambe, Santa Fe, NM Pueblo of Picuris, Penasco, NM Pueblo of Pojoaque, Santa Fe, NM Pueblo of San Felipe, San Felipe Pueblo, NM Pueblo of San Juan, San Juan Pueblo, NM Pueblo of Sandia, Bernalillo, NM Pueblo of Santa Ana, Santa Ana Pueblo, NM Pueblo of Santa Clara, Espanola, NM Ysleta del Sur Pueblo, El Paso, TX Pueblo of Santo Domingo, Santa Domingo Pueblo, NM Pueblo of Taos, Taos, NM Pueblo of Tesuque, Santa Fe, NM Pueblo of Zia, Zia Pueblo, NM Pueblo of Zuni, Zuni, NM Ramah Navajo Chapter, Ramah, NM</p>
Oregon and Washington	<p>Tribal Council Chair, Burns Paiute Tribe, Burns, OR Chair, Colville Confederated Tribes, Nespelem, WA Chairman, Confederated Tribes of the Coos, Lower Umpqua, and Siuslaw Indians, Coos Bay, OR Chairman, Coquille Indian Tribe, North Bend, OR Chairwoman, Cow Creek Band of Umpqua Indians, Roseburg, OR Chairwoman, Confederated Tribes of Grand Ronde Indians, Grand Ronde, OR Chairman, Kalispel Tribe, Usk, WA Chairman, Klamath Tribes, Chiloquin, OR Chairman, Puyallup Tribe, Tacoma, WA Chairperson, Samish Tribe of Indians, Anacortes, WA Chairwoman, Confederated Tribes of Siletz Indians, Siletz, OR Chairman, Spokane Tribe, Wellpinit, WA Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation, Pendleton, OR Confederated Tribes of the Warm Springs Reservation, Warm Springs, OR Chairman, Yakama Nation, Toppenish, WA</p>

TABLE 7.2-1 (Cont.)

BLM State Office	Consultation Invitation Letters Sent to the Tribal Organizations Listed Below
Utah	President, Navajo Nation, Window Rock, AZ Aneth Chapter Coordinator, Navajo Nation, Montezuma Creek, UT Mexican Water Chapter Coordinator, Navajo Nation, Teec Nos Pos, AZ Navajo Mountain Chapter Coordinator, Navajo Nation, Tonalea, AZ Oljato Chapter Coordinator, Navajo Nation, Monument Valley, UT Red Mesa Chapter Coordinator, Navajo Nation, Montezuma Creek, UT Teec Nos Pos Chapter Coordinator, Navajo Nation, Teec Nos Pos, AZ Dennehotso Chapter Coordinator, Navajo Nation, Dennehotso, AZ Director, Navajo Utah Commission, Montezuma Creek, UT Chair, Ute Mountain Ute Tribe, Towaoc, CO Chair, White Mesa Ute Council, Blanding, UT Chair, Uintah and Ouray Tribal Business, Fort Duchesne, UT Cultural Resources Director, Fort Duchesne, UT Chairwoman, Paiute Indian Tribe of Utah, Cedar City, UT Band Chair, Kanosh Band of Paiutes, Kanosh, UT Chair, Koosharem Band of Paiutes, Richfield, UT C.R., Paiute Indian Tribe of Utah, Cedar City, UT President, San Juan S. Paiute Council, Tuba City, AZ Chair, Goshute Indian Tribe, Ibapah, UT Chair, Skull Valley Band of Goshute Indians, Salt Lake City, UT Chair, NW Band of Shoshone Nation, Pocatello, ID Band Chair, Indian Peaks Band of Paiutes, Enoch, UT Band Chair, Shivwitz Band of Paiutes, St. George, UT Environmental Coordinator, Goshute Tribe, Ibapah, UT
Wyoming	Shoshone Cultural Office, Fort Washakie, WY Northern Cheyenne Tribal Council, Lame Deer, MT Oglala Sioux Tribal Council, Pine Ridge, SD Cheyenne River Sioux Tribal Council, Eagle Butte, SD Natural Resources Subcommittee Nex Perce Tribe, Lapwai, ID Crow Tribal Council, Crow Agency, MT Blackfeet Tribal Planning Department, Browning, MT Cultural Rights and Protection Ute Indian Tribe, Fort Duchesne, UT Shoshone Business Council, Fort Washakie, WY Arapaho Business Council, Fort Washakie, WY Northern Cheyenne Cultural Committee, Lame Dear, MT Rosebud Sioux Tribal Council, Rosebud, SD Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe, Eagle Butte, SD Shoshone-Bannock Tribes of Fort Hall Business Council, Fort Hall, ID Cultural Director, Crow Tribal Administration, Crow Agency, MT Salish and Kootenai Tribal Council, Pablo, MT Cultural Resource Coordinator, Rosebud Sioux Tribe, Mission, SD Natural Resources Subcommittee, Nez Perce Tribal Executive Committee, Lapwaih, ID Cultural Resource Coordinator, Shoshone-Bannock Tribes, Fort Hall, ID Blackfeet Tribal Business Council, Browning, MT Ute Tribal Council, Fort Duchesne, UT

